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This presentation is about my EDWs to recall the **unbelievable similarities** between my works (2005, 2008, 2010, 2012) and Markus Gabriel's works (book, TED clip 2013)

**Philosophy and Science**

- Once upon a time: the great scientist was a philosopher, the great philosopher was a scientist→ “Weltanschauung” (image of the world)

- Last 100 years: scientific knowledge – huge amount

- Last 30-40 years: “Special sciences” – try to explain all phenomena = World”

- Specialized knowledge for each science (theories): "aspects" of the world
“Under these circumstances, philosopher abandoned fight for 'Weltanschauung' and retired, with a solemn dignity, under the logical-linguistic wrapper of analyzing the linguistic notions, and later, running in moral and political mind-blowing debates. However, this powerlessness was reflected by the attitude of the majority of philosophers in rejecting even temptation in creating “Weltanschauung”.

Devastated by such enormous knowledge from sciences, philosopher was captured by sterile disputes on 'profound' topics. The philosopher of the last century remained unsettled in front of the decisive steps ahead taken by the great scientists.”

- Now: All old philosophical questions = Science →
  “Philosophy is dead.” (Hawking 2010) (Paradox: The number of philosophers is incredible huge today!)
SCIENCE
Scientific knowledge 4 problems of the "world" (dualities):
1. mind-body (brain) [Cognitive Science]
2. cell/organism-life [Biology]
3. particle-wave (quantum) [Physics]
4. micro-macro (Einstein-quantum mech.) [Physics]

↓

All other great problems in
“foundations of special sciences” for the "world"!

● The cause? The wrong framework: world/unicorn world!
● Great philosophers deal with this framework!
Epistemologically different worlds (EDWs)

- “World”/unicorn world = human illusion
- The oldest Ptolemaic epicycle, most powerful (unquestionable during oldest times!)
- Scientists work/think in this framework
- Unicorn-world → 4 dualities = pseudo-problems in science
- Replace the "world" with EDWs!

Principles of EDWs (here particular cases):
About non-living entities

(1) Epistemologically different interactions constitute epistemologically different entities, and epistemologically different entities determine epistemologically different interactions.

(2) Any entity exists only at "the surface" because of interactions that constitute it.

(3) Any entity exists in a single EW and interacts only with the nonliving entities from the same EW.

(4) Any EW appears from and disappears in the hyper-nothing.

(5) Any EW is, therefore all EDWs have the same objective reality.
“Unicorn-world” = all entities/object exists in the same spatio-temporal framework.

EDWs: Unicorn-world does not exist ↔ All objects/entities exist but not in same “world” but in EDWs!

EDWs ≠ parallel worlds/universes

Strong distinction epistemology–ontology (Plato) is wrong!

(P1): “To interact = to exist”
“Exist” is for entity with determinations/features.

→ In general, spatio-temporal framework

“Existence” and “interaction” interrelated

Interactions constitute “surface” of an it. → Ontological reality (not exist "inside" of an object)

Constitution ↔ Determinations (features)

Parts–whole → Organizational + epistemological-ontological thresholds

“Quantum gravity” = illicit extension: graviton - impossible to use → Impossible to exist

(Kant-Carnap rule)
Space, Time and Big Bang

- s, t and entities (Leibniz/Einstein not Newton)
- An EW as a whole – not perceived
- Do not extend space and time to all EDWs! (Kant-Carnap’s rule)
- Photons (no time) + mind (no space) + waves (no segmentation/no parts)
“Before” Big Bang, another EW, and another, avoid regress ∞: one EW no space and time (maybe that EW still is)

Causality/relation between mind-brain or table-microparticles? Meaningless question! (Even identity is wrong!)

[No God, otherwise, “Go to church”] → Either “perspective of nobody” (= meaningless) or “perspective of each entity” that belongs to a particular class of entities (= EW)!
Propositions for being (the "I"/life/mind) and cell/organisms:

(6) Life/mind corresponds to a cell/organism.

(7) Life/mind is an EW. Therefore, life/mind is.

(8) Having certain determinations, from our viewpoint a cell/organism is "composed" of an amalgam of other molecules/cells + relationships.

(9) Certain states and processes form knowledge that is life (mind).

(10) As an entity having a unity, life/mind is an indeterminate individuality.
• Without **correspondence** to life/mind, any cell/organism - not survive in its environment

• Coordination of biological functions needs an **unity impossible to be used/exist** within mechanisms of a cell/organism → Such unity = the “I”/life/mind!

• This unity corresponds to *development* of a cell/organism and *evolution* of species.

• Cognitive neuroscience: an error = checking for unity of consciousness within the brain!

(Analogy: Table-microparticles)
• The unity of the “I” represents *indeterminate individuality* of life/mind.
• Indeterminate individuality = entity with *potential* determinations/features
• *The notion of “life/mind” has no plural.* (Any life/mind is an EW.)
• Any mental state/process (determinate feature) is the “I”.
• No space/color in mind/brain.
• However, *representations* of space or color is mind.
Without space (only “virtual s” = representation of s) → Life/mind = indeterminate individuality with its unity.

This unity cannot be identify using any tools of observation.

No “continuity” between non-living and living entities (since EDWs)

Knowledge = Subjectivity = Life (mind)

Implicit and explicit knowledge

“Internal feeling” or “external space” - wrong notions ↔ Perceptions are the “I”!
● From human viewpoint: not too many EDWs

● Extending conditions of observation/interaction to all entities, number of EDWs increases considerably

● Rejection of "levels", "emergence", "supervenience", "composition", or "entanglement", "non-locality", "complexity", "causalities"

(11) Being (life/the "I") is, therefore EDWs are.

● Objective reality for all EDWs: no criteria for differentiating their objective reality

● Mind and body (brain), waves and particles, micro-macro, etc. are or belong to EDWs
Hume laughing: "Post-modern human being quite many pseudo-causalities dominate your world"!

After Copernicus, Darwin, Freud, [“alone in the world”], Einstein’s [“creating particular frameworks”] revolutions against myths in human thinking, reject yet another myth: “world”.

Once again to mount a Copernican revolution for discarding our “special” status: “World” does not exist!
From man = “Center of the Universe” → “Alone in world” → Not even “alone”, but EDWs, the “I” = EW

Science - dualities:

- World does not exist → 4 problems + many other problems = pseudo-problems!

- “Philosophy is dead” but science? Also science has problems! + T.O.E. = pseudo-theory! (see Anderson '72, Fodor 1974)

- Scientific problems = philosophical problems! = “Weltanchauung”
EDWs perspective changes the largest "Weltanschauung" (ironically, a wrong notion!) throwing to the garbage the most "tangible" but the most dangerous notion: the world/universe/reality.

Completely frightened?

In Nietzsche’ style, I finally proclaim

"The world is dead. Long live the EDWs!"

["Have no fear of perfection, you will never reach it." (Salvador Dali)]

Nevertheless, in philosophy, perfection is reached when your philosophical work is plagiarized by a professor of philosophy from a German university!]