



GABRIEL VACARIU

*Being
and the
Hyperverses*



editura universității din bucurești

Being and the Hyperverse

Gabriel Vacariu (Philosophy, UB)

“Ignorance, the root and stem of all evil.”

Plato (?)

**“The greatest enemy of knowledge is not ignorance,
but the illusion of knowledge.”**

Stephen Hawking

Philosophy and Science

- Once upon a time: the great scientist was a philosopher, the great philosopher was a scientist → “Weltanschauung”
- Last 100 years: scientific knowledge – huge amount
- Last 30-40 years: “Special sciences” – try to explain all phenomena = “world”
- Specialized knowledge for each science (theories)

“Under these circumstances, philosopher abandoned fight for 'Weltanschauung' and retired, with a solemn dignity, under the logical-linguistic wrapper of analyzing the linguistic notions, and later, running in moral and political mind-blowing debates. However, this powerlessness was reflected by the attitude of the majority of philosophers in rejecting even temptation in creating “Weltanschauung”.

Devastated by such enormous knowledge from sciences, philosopher was captured by sterile disputes on 'profound' topics. The philosopher of the last century remained unsettled in front of the decisive steps ahead taken by the great scientists.”

- Now: All old philosophical questions = Science →

“Philosophy is dead.” (Hawking 2010)

SCIENCE

Scientific knowledge - 4 problems - **Dualities**:

1. Micro-macro (Einstein-quantum m.) [Physics]
2. Particle-wave (quantum) [Physics]
3. Cell/organism-life [Biology]
4. Mind-body (brain) [Cognitive Science]



All other great problems in
“foundations of special sciences”

I want to show that

**World or Universe = “Unicorn-world”
does not exist!**

- It is a human mental creation
- The oldest Ptolemaic epicycle, the most powerful → Unquestionable
- Scientists work/think in this framework
- “World” vs. “Epistemologically Different Worlds” (EDWs)
- Unicorn-world → 4 dualities = Pseudo-problems in science

(a) “Non-living” entities that exist will be called it². (Singular -“it”) (Rom.: “**Ent**”, plural = “**Enti**”)

(b) “Living” entities that exist = It². (It)

(c) The entity that *corresponds* to an It will be called “being”. Correct - “Being is.”; incorrect “Being exists.”. “Being” = the “I”, mind, life, subjectivity

(d) “Correspondence” refers to the *conceptual* (not real) relationships between entities that belong to EDWs.

(e) “Interaction” (for all entities) equivalents with human “observation/perception”

(f) “Determinate” refers to certain determinations/characteristics/traits; “indeterminate” - determinations in possible states; “non-determinate” - no determinations

(g) “Human being” = the “I”

“Human organism” = brain + body

• *Propositions for it:*

(1) Epistemologically different interactions *constitute* epistemologically different it^2 , and epistemologically different it^2 *determine* epistemologically different interactions.

(2) Any it exists only at "the surface" because of the interactions that *constitute* it.

(3) Any it exists in a single EW and interacts only with the it^2 from the same EW.

(4) Any EW (a set of it^2 – and eventually It^2 – and their interactions) appears from and disappears in the *hyper-nothing*.

(5) Any EW is, therefore all EDWs have the same objective reality.

- “Unicorn-world” = All entities/object exists in the same spatio-temporal framework
- EDWs: Unicorn-world does not exist \leftrightarrow Not all objects/entities *exist* in the same “world”
- EDWs \neq Parallel worlds/universes
- Strong distinction epistemology–ontology is wrong (Plato)
- (P1) - “To interact = to exist”

- “Exist ” - for entity with determinations
- In general, spatio-temporal framework
- “Existence” and “interaction” interrelated
 - Interactions constitute “surface” of an it → *Ontological reality*
 - Constitution ↔ Determinations
 - Parts – whole → *Organizational + epistemological-ontological* thresholds
 - “Quantum gravity” – illicit extension: graviton
- impossible to use → Impossible to exist
(Kant-Carnap rule)

Space, Time and Hypernothing (HN)

- S, t and entities (Leibniz or astronomy today)
- An EW as a whole – not perceived
- Do not extend s and t to all EDWs! (K-C rule)
- Photons (no t) + mind (no space) + waves (no segmentation, no parts)
- EW appears from or disappears in hypernothing
→ *Hyperontological* status
- HN – no determinations, no s-t framework
- HN – hyperontologically between EDWs

- “Between two EDWs, it is hypernothing”.
- Without HN – EDWs aren't
- Following Hume: we need HN for rejection of pseudo-causalities + being of EDWs
- “An EW is.” - an EW appears from HN → “is” without predicate - any EW *as a whole* is indeterminate
- S-t framework in relation with particular set of entities that belong to an EW₁
- HN - no determination, no dimensions (no s, t)

- No God [“Go to the church”] → Either “perspective of nobody” or “perspective of each entity” that belongs to a particular class of entities
- HN eliminates viewpoint of nobody → EDWs

Big Bang, s, t and EDWs

- “Before” Big Bang - another EW without s and t (maybe that EW still is) → Avoid regress ∞
- Unicorn-world: “Nothing is lost, everything is transformed.”
- Table-microparticles and HN → No causality

Propositions for being and It:

(6) Being corresponds to an It.

(7) Being is an EW. Therefore, being is.

(8) Having certain determinations, from our viewpoint an It is composed of an amalgam of It and their relationships.

(9) Certain states and processes form knowledge that is being.

(10) As an entity, being has unity as indeterminate individuality.

- Without correspondence, It would not survive in its environment
- Coordination of biological functions needs an unity *impossible to be used/ exist* within mechanisms of an It → Such unity = the “I”!
- This unity **corresponds** to *development* of an It and *evolution* of species
- Any mental state/process is the “I”
- Cognitive neuroscience: Error = Checking for unity of consciousness within the brain
- Analogy: Unity of table among microparticles

- Each mental function = the “I”
- The unity of the “I” represents *indeterminate individuality* of being.
- Indeterminate individuality = Entity with *potential* determinations
- *The notion of “being” has no plural.*
- “Knowledge (perceptions, thoughts, actions) is being”.
- *Representations of space or color is mind.*
- However, no space/color in mind or brain.

- Without space (only “virtual s” = representation of s), being is an indeterminate individuality with unity
- This unity cannot be identify using our tools of observation
- No “continuity” between non-living and living entities
- Knowledge = Subjectivity = Being
- Implicit and explicit knowledge
- “Internal feeling” or “external space” - wrong notions \leftrightarrow Perceptions are the “I”!

Hyperverses

= Sum of all EDWs → Abstract notion

- For ontological status, hyperverses need interaction/observation with/of an hyperentity (God)
- Hyperentity isn't → Hyperbeing isn't
- Analogy “mind-body problem” and “being of hyperbeing” = Pseudo-problems: Hyperbeing would observe (interacts) all ED entities →
Hyperontological contradiction
(Remember Berkeley or the Churchlands)
- If EW is indeterminate, hypernothing is non-determinate

- From human viewpoint - not too many EDWs
- Extending conditions of observation/ interaction to all entities, number of EDWs increases considerably
- Rejection of “levels”, “emergence”, “super-venience”, “composition”, or “entanglement”, “non-locality”, “complexity”, “**causalities**”,

(11) Being is, therefore EDWs are.

- If being isn't, any It would not survive in it environment
- Mind and body (brain), waves and particles, micro-macro, etc. are or belong to EDWs

- Another 2 propositions = 13 propositions
- Within the unicorn-world, **pseudo-causalities dominate “our world”** - Hume laughing...
- After Copernicus, Darwin, Freud, [“alone in the world”], Einstein’s [“creating particular world”] revolutions against myths in human thinking, reject yet another myth: “world”
- Once again to mount a Copernican revolution for discarding our “special” status: “World” does not exist!

- From man = “Center of the Universe”
→ “Alone in world” → Not even “alone”, but
EDWs, the “I” = EW

Science - dualities:

- 4 problems = Pseudo-problems - their
relationships = Pseudo-relationships in
unicorn-world
- “Philosophy is dead” when T.O.E. (theory of
everything), but T.O.E. = Pseudo-theory! (see
Anderson '72, Fodor 1974)

- EDWs perspective changes the largest “Weltanschauung” (ironically, a wrong notion!) trashing the most “tangible” (but the most dangerous) notion, the “world”
- Mentally, try to represent the “hyperverse”...
- In Nietzsche’ style, I finally proclaim

***“The world is dead. Long live the
HYPERVERSE!”***

PS: Boltzmann: “Matters of elegance ought to be left to the tailor and to the cobbler.” [“Eleganta trebuie lasata in seama croitorului si a cizmarului.”] in Einstein 1916)